**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 544 OF 2006.**

Avinash Chandrakant Chaudhari. ... Applicant.
Versus.
The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Smt.Pranali Kakade for the Applicant.

Ms.Madhuri Mahtre, A.P.P.for the Respondent.

**CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.**

**DATED : 3rd April, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard the learned Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. According to the learned Advocate for the Applicant, the second Bail Application is maintainable on the ground that there are following changes in the circumstances, viz. (i) the Applicant's father is ill and requires medical attention; and (ii) a period of more than one year has elapsed from the date on which the Applicant was taken in custody.

3. The learned A.P.P., so far as the first ground is concerned, states that there are other two brothers of the Applicant, who are available to help the father. Medical certificate annexed to the Application shows that the Applicant's father is suffering from

hypertension and diabetis. No case is made out for enlarging the Applicant on this ground.

4. So far as the second ground is concerned, it must be noted that by an earlier order dated 19th September 2005, the trial is already expedited.

5. No case is made out in the second Bail Application and the same is rejected.

**Judge.**